

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Business

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

No Response

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

Yes

Comments:

As a small eco forestry land owner, the changing of the rules to 56 days would be a life saver for me. I love doing what I do but we need the income stream to keep true to our ideals of high quality forestry produce that protects the environment. It is very hard to earn a living from the primary products due to massive labour time. if we can have some eco camping on our land for 8 weeks per year, it would allow us to keep going and have the environment at the heart of what we do. Sharing our practices with tourists would help spread the message of what people such as ourselves are doing in beautiful Wales. Having more opportunities to holiday in Wales rather than jumping on a plane would help the environment as well, and build up the Welsh rural economy. I really hope you can get this change made permanent. it could really help secure my business and I'm sure this would be true for many other small Welsh land based businesses.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

No

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

Perhaps being able to cap the size of some of the activities, so certain camp sites etc don't grow too large for local roads and affecting local issues. this could cause a backlash to all of the people using this change of rules.

Small is beautiful.

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

Yes

Comments:

up to the limit of the 56 days.

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

Yes

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

No Response

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

Yes

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

Yes

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

Yes

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

No Response

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

No Response

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

[REDACTED]